UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,283	09/22/2005	Joerg Dehde	3430	1891
Striker Striker	103 East Neck Road Morgan Huntington, NY 11743		EXAMINER	
103 East Neck			EILEEN P	
Huntington, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,283	DEHDE, JOERG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eileen P. Morgan	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. RANDONED (35.U.S.C. 5.133)
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance.	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 16-18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-13 and 16-18 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second of the certified copies of the certifi	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intentiew	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2,4-13,15-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 7, what is the conical shape with respect to? The longitudinal axis of the opening or a radial axis? Where is the cross sectional area measured? If it is conical the cross section is always changing. Claims 4 and 9, which cross-wise length? The length is always changing, therefore how can you define the depth by a changing length? Claims 5 and 16, how are the openings conical and round? Claim 6, what does 'potted' mean?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2,4-11, 15,16,17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasabian-3,840,762, alone.

Kasabian discloses a power tool (20) having a housing (22) with a coolant duct/plate (112) having round openings covering entire plate for a cooling medium to

Art Unit: 3723

enter and cool motor, the duct consisting of a plate attached to housing (22), wherein at least one element (34) has rounded edges, wherein the openings are located in rows and columns separated by ribs of equal width. Kasabian does not disclose the exact diameter or depth of the openings. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to form the openings within the claimed range of 0.15-10mm² since it has been held where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. The openings of Kasabian are cylindrical. In regard to the conical shape, it is not clear if the conical opening is in relation to an axis of the plate or radially on plate. However, to have an opening of a different shape, such as conical, would have been an obvious design choice dependent on air flow needed to cool the motor. Since any opening provides air to the motor in order to cool it and would perform equally well, using any known shape would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 ,13,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasabian in view of Potter et al.-6,296,427.

Art Unit: 3723

Kasabian teaches the invention as detailed above, but does not show groupings of openings with different diameters (or cross sections). However, Potter teaches a hand powered tool having cooling means comprising openings combined in groups (62,64) having openings of varying cross section. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time invention was made to provide Kasabian with spaced groupings of openings, as shown by Potter, since both arrangements appear to work equally well for cooling a motor and would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4-13,16-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen P. Morgan whose telephone number is 571.272.4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7am-3:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571.272.4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,283

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EΜ

August 29, 2007

/Eileen P. Morgan/ Primary Examiner, AU 3723

Page 5